

AFRICAN UNION

الاتحاد الأفريقي



UNION AFRICAINE

UNIÃO AFRICANA

P. O. Box 3243, Addis Ababa, ETHIOPIA Tel.: Tel: +251-115- 517 700 Fax: +251-115- 517844 / 5182523
Website: www.au.int

FI22265

EXECUTIVE COUNCIL
Thirty-Third Ordinary Session
25 – 29 June 2018
Nouakchott, MAURITANIA

EX.CL/1077(XXXIII)i

**MEETING OF THE JOINT SITTING OF PRC SUB-COMMITTEE ON
GENERAL SUPERVISION AND COORDINATION ON BUDGETARY,
FINANCIAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS, SUB-COMMITTEE ON
PROGRAMS AND CONFERENCES AND COMMITTEE OF FIFTEEN
FINANCE MINISTERS' TECHNICAL EXPERTS**

AFRICAN UNION

الاتحاد الأفريقي



UNION AFRICAINE

UNIÃO AFRICANA

Addis Ababa, ETHIOPIA P. O. Box 3243 Telephone 115 517 700 Cables: OAU, ADDIS ABABA
Fax: 251-11-5513039

**MEETING OF THE JOINT SITTING OF PRC SUB-COMMITTEE ON
GENERAL SUPERVISION AND COORDINATION ON BUDGETARY,
FINANCIAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS, SUB-COMMITTEE ON
PROGRAMS AND CONFERENCES AND COMMITTEE OF FIFTEEN
FINANCE MINISTERS' TECHNICAL EXPERTS**

14 – 24 /28-31 MAY 2018

7- 9 JUNE 2018 and 24 JUNE 2018 (Nouakchott)

ADDIS ABABA, ETHIOPIA

DRAFT REPORT

Version 4

A. INTRODUCTION

1. The joint sitting session of PRC sub-Committee on General Supervision and Coordination on Budgetary, Financial and Administrative Matters (GSCBFAM), sub-Committee on Programs and Conferences (CPC) as well as the Technical Experts of the Committee of Fifteen Finance Ministers (F15T) was held from May 14 – 24, 2018, May 28 – 31, 2018 and June 7 – 9, 2018 in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia to consider budget appropriations for 2019. The meetings were concluded in 24 June 2018 in Nouakchott, Mauritania with the setting up of a joint working group (Member States / Commission) on 25 June 2018 to harmonize the figures.

B. ATTENDANCE

2. The meeting was presided over by the Ambassador of South Africa to Ethiopia and Permanent Representative to UNECA and AU, His Excellency, Mr Ndumiso Ndima Ntshinga. He was assisted by the following:

- i) Ambassador of Sahrawi to Ethiopia and Permanent Representative to AU;
- ii) Ambassador of Botswana to Ethiopia and Permanent Representative to AU and UNECA, Her Excellency;
- iii) Ambassador of the Republic of Congo to Ethiopia and Permanent Representatives to AU and UNECA.

3. It was attended by the following Member States:

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| i. Algeria | xix. Ghana |
| ii. Angola | xx. Kenya |
| iii. Benin | xxi. Lesotho |
| iv. Botswana | xxii. Liberia |
| v. Burkina Faso | xxiii. Libya |
| vi. Burundi | xxiv. Madagascar |
| vii. Chad | xxv. Mali |
| viii. Comoros | xxvi. Malawi |
| ix. Congo | xxvii. Mauritius |
| x. Cote D'Ivoire | xxviii. Morocco |
| xi. Democratic Republic of Congo | xxix. Mauritania |
| xii. Djibouti | xxx. Mozambique |
| xiii. Equatorial Guinea | xxxi. Namibia |
| xiv. Ethiopia | xxxii. Niger |
| xv. Egypt | xxxiii. Nigeria |
| xvi. Eritrea | xxxiv. Rwanda |
| xvii. Gambia | xxxv. Senegal |
| xviii. Gabon | xxxvi. Sierra Leone |
| | xxxvii. South Africa |

| | | | |
|----------|-------------|--------|----------|
| xxxviii. | Somalia | xliv. | Tunisia |
| xxxix. | Sudan | xlv. | Uganda |
| xl. | South Sudan | xlvi. | Zambia |
| xli. | Swaziland | xlvii. | Zimbabwe |
| xlii. | Tanzania | | |
| xliii. | Togo | | |

Technical Committee of F15

| | | | |
|------------------|--------------|----------|----------|
| Southern Africa: | South Africa | Namibia | Botswana |
| Eastern Africa: | Kenya | Ethiopia | Rwanda |
| West Africa: | Cote d'voire | Ghana | Nigeria |
| Central Africa: | Cameroun | Chad | Congo |
| North Africa: | Egypt | Algeria | Morocco |

C. AGENDA

4. The agenda was adopted as follows:

- a) Opening remarks;
- b) Adoption of the draft agenda;
- c) Consideration of the draft Rules of Procedure for the Joint Sitting;
- d) Presentation of the 2019 Budget Framework Paper;
- e) Presentation on Trend on Budget Execution Analysis and Cost Analysis;
- f) Consideration of the 2017 Budget Execution and Performance Reports;
- g) Presentation of the 2019 Budget;
- h) Consideration of 2018 Supplementary Budget;
- i) Calendar of Meetings;
- j) Any Other Business.

D. OPENING REMARKS

5. The Chairperson of the PRC Sub Committee on GSCBFAM, H.E. Mr Ndumiso Ndima Ntshinga, called the meeting to order and welcomed all present. In his remarks, he expresses satisfaction on the progress and the new approach taken to budgeting for 2019. He noted that in the past, the revenues, budget trends and decisions on allocation of funds were not aligned. Consequently, the Union's approved budgets were higher than the revenues received and expenditures were also lower than revenues. In this regard, he informed the meeting that the new approach was an attempt to enable the Commission to deliver a credible and sound budget. He went on to recall that the application of baseline on revenue generation as well spending capabilities in order to define future budgets was what the Member States have been expecting. He then pleaded with the meeting to review 2019 draft budget proposals based on the following:

- a) Budget execution history of each department/organ of past three years;

- b) Absorptive capacity – all factors (staffing, size of budget, etc.);
- c) Credible spending plans (budget vs revenue, spending vs Priorities);
- d) Alignment of priorities of the Union and budget proposals;
- e) All draft budgets for consideration must first be vetted by the departments of Strategic Planning and of Programming, Budgeting and Finance prior to tabling for discussion.

6. He concluded by acknowledging that while mandates of the Union are increasing commensurate with amplified pressure to spend on budget, must however corresponds with credible spending plans. He then called on all departments and organs to treat the Joint sitting on budget as a filter to a sound budget.

7. At an extended budget session that took place in Nouakchott, Mauritania on June 24, 2018, the Deputy Chairperson of the AU Commission, in his remarks shared the concerns raised by the Joint sitting concerning salaries for short term staff. The Deputy Chairperson took note of the remarks made by member States and he then promised the meeting that action will be taken in order to address the issue. He further elaborated that measures to resolve the matter will be approached cautiously so as not to disrupt the work of the Commission.

E. CONSIDERATION OF THE DRAFT RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE JOINT SITTING OF SUB-COMMITTEES OF GSCBFAM, CPC AND F15T

8. The meeting reviewed the draft Rules of Procedure for the Joint Sitting of GSCBFAM, CPC and F15T which were earlier in April 2018 examined by the Bureaus of GSCBFAM and CPC and representatives of F15T. Having exhausted the discussions, the meeting made observations which have been reflected in the revised document. The revised Rules of Procedure were then referred to the Legal Counsel to vet the language, completeness, consistency with provisions for the sub Committee on Budgetary Matters and other considerations.

F. BUDGET TRENDS AND COST ANALYSIS

9. Representative of the Commission presented an analysis of the 2015-2017 cost trends outlining the major cost drivers, reasons for low execution, key challenges encountered and mitigation measures as follows:

10. **Over budgeting and planning by Departments/ Directorates** - Over budgeting and planning occurs when department/directorate comes up with a budget that is far beyond its implementation capacities resulting in activities budgeted for not being carried out even though budgeted funds are available.

11. Ever expanding mandates of departments/directorate, which are not commensurate with appropriate staff complements - Over the years mandates of departments/directorates have been expanded as result of Assembly decisions

12. Delays in release of funds- Over the three years period cumulatively 57% (2015); 41% (2016) and only 19% (2017) of the total funds were received from Partners by the end of June for each year. Less than 15% of the total annual disbursements from Partners were received in the first quarter of each year. Noted with concern the level of underspending and the quality of spending on the budget and failure by Member States to pay their contributions timely.

13. Noncompliance with planning, monitoring, evaluation and reporting requirements are also major contributors to delayed release and or non-release of funding as most partners have reporting requirements which need to be met before funding is released.

14. No clearly / scientifically defined budget baselines. The Commission adopted incremental budgeting of +5% to 10% each year without the use of previous year executions to assess the abilities of the Department to execute the increased budget. This led to annual increases of the amount budgeted for without checks and balances to evaluate Departments' capacities to spend.

15. Bureaucratic Procurement Processes: These have resulted in some planned activities being rolled out to the following year because the required materials or services could not be procured timely. Departments have complained of procurement procedures taking far longer than anticipated.

16. Extra Budgetary Funding of Activities - Sometimes Departments negotiate directly with partners for funding of activities which are outside the approved activities. Sometimes the cost of those activities are not reflected in AU Accounts, but will appear in partner books of accounts.

17. Late approval / consideration of the supplementary budget: late consideration of the supplementary budget has resulted in funds being available but departments not having enough time to execute the available funds. For example in 2017, a supplementary budget of over 2 million was approved in November leaving Departments with only one month to execute the approved supplementary funds.

18. Poor quality of reports generated within the Commission, particularly those that are submitted directly to partners. The major contributor to this challenge is that there are many fund managers within the Commission

Discussion

19. Upon reflection on the presentation, Member States and Technical Experts of the F15 made the following observations and comments:

- a) Welcomed the report as an honest and eye opener to Member States;
- b) Observed with deep concern the reasons provided for low budget execution;
- c) Called for future appropriation of resources to be based on Member States' capacity to pay to the Union and departments' ability to spend on budget;
- d) Observed that there was little link between spending and satisfactory results on the ground that Member States can appreciate and to encourage them to contribute more resources to AU.

Recommendations.

20. The AU Reform process should address structural issues and staffing issues. This will help in ensuring effective and efficient execution of mandates. Efforts to address over bureaucracy should be intensified to ensure timely recruitment of required staff. Furthermore it is hoped the ongoing reforms will address the human resource challenges.

21. Recommend that all the resource mobilized should be centralized within the SPPMERM department.

G. BUDGET FRAMEWORK PAPER

22. The Commission presented a Budget Framework Paper (BFP) for the 2019-2021 African Union Budget. In the presentation, it was defined that the BFP is a policy statement document that sets out the overarching policy goals to guide preparation of the Union's decisions and the priorities for the 2019 -2021 Budget. He went on to narrate that the identified priorities were informed by people's aspirations as stipulated in the Agenda 2063 and translated through the First 10 Year Africa's Agenda 2063 Implementation Plan and AU Commission's Medium Term Plan.

23. Participants examined the BFP in detail and made the following observations, among others:

- a) The budget priorities for the 2019-2021 were not very clear in the document;
- b) The paper lacked coherence with the Agenda 2063 and its Medium Term Plan;
- c) Arrangements for effective implementation of the budget were not outlined in the BFP;

- d) The linkage between the revenue collection and spending plans were not clearly spelt out in the document;
- e) The BFP to contain a statement on how the Golden Rules were being applied in the budget;
- f) The framework was heavy on detail and less on strategic direction;
- g) Advised that the BFP ought to be an agenda setting document that addresses, among others, the following:
 - Underscore the Union's strategic direction;
 - Be more clear on how resources will be mobilized to support the budget;
 - Accentuate the arrangements for implementing the budget;
 - Articulate the mechanism for monitoring and reporting on the budget;
 - Highlight the fiscal risks associated with budget implementation;
 - Underline the mitigation measures associated with the perceived risks.
- h) The BFP was then revised jointly with members of the F15 Technical Committee.

Recommendations

24. Having exhausted the deliberations the BFP was adopted. Further, the meeting made the following conclusions:

- a) Called upon the PRC Sub Committees on General Supervision and Coordination on Administrative and Budgetary and Financial Matters (GSCABFM) and Programme and Conferences as well as F15 Technical Committee to jointly work with the Commission when preparing future Budget Framework Papers and the establishment of the Budget Ceiling;
- b) The ceiling as jointly approved should form a basis for the initiation of all budget submissions;
- c) Requests the Commission to develop a mechanism for monitoring expenditures on quarterly basis and to develop a system in which Member States are regularly informed for review;
- d) Request that the budget framework paper be presented in tandem with MTEF.

H. CONSIDERATION OF THE 2017 AFRICAN UNION BUDGET PERFORMANCE REPORT (FINANCIAL AND TECHNICAL)

25. The AU Commission presented the 2017 Budget Execution Report of the Union. In the presentation, it was stated that out of an approved budget of **US\$850.8 million**, an amount of **US\$645.8 million** was available to be spent in 2017. This represented 76% of expected funds. An amount of US\$145.1 million for Regular Budget and US\$31.7 million for Peace Fund was received from Member States out of the budgeted US\$270.15 million (71% on Regular & 49% on Peace Fund) and Partners provided US\$476.7 million out of the budgeted US\$628.6 million (76%). It was also reported that on month to month basis, revenue collection reached the peak in September 2017. And in comparison with other years, 2017 recorded the highest budget execution rate in four years.

26. The actual expenditure stood at **US\$504.8 million** in 2017, representing a spending rate to the available funds of 78% (81% on Operating budget and 70% on Program budget). It was reported that the budget execution did not reach the expected levels, among others, due to the following:

- a) Funds were available late mainly due to delays in fulfilling requirements for their release i.e. preparation of work plans, submission of reports, etc.;
- b) Limited capacity to implement the programs (over-planning);
- c) Procurement processes were longer than was anticipated;
- d) Departments were often preoccupied by activities that are outside the budget;
- e) Lack of prioritization.

27. Regarding program implementation, about 23% of the planned outputs were completed in 2017 as compared to 54% recorded in 2016. The following were highlighted as factors contributing to low budget execution:

- a) Tendency to over-plan;
- b) Internal procurement process take longer to conclude;
- c) Work plans are sent to international partners late to enable them release funds;
- d) Late reporting or non-reporting on program implementation by departments also contributed to late or non-disbursement of funds by partners;
- e) Supplementary budget for 2017 was approved late in December 2017;

- f) Mobilization of resources at, especially AU Commission, is not coordinated with respective departments go their way to secure funds without the knowledge of the departmental responsible.

Discussions

28. The meeting welcomed the two reports as being insightful, helpful and honest which were largely going to assist Member States when considering respective draft budget proposals for 2019. Upon reflecting on the reports, Member States and F15T made the following observations:

- a) Noted with concern the level of underspending and the quality of spending on the budget and failure by Member States to pay their contributions timely;
- b) Management of the Reserve fund should be subjected to surveillance, monitoring and AU reporting procedures;
- c) Requested for detailed explanation on how the AU treats unspent money at the end of each financial year;
- d) Requested for a comprehensive report on the management of the Reserve Fund to be presented to the meeting;
- e) The reports do not portray a positive picture on the way budgeting is done within the AU;
- f) Acknowledging that non-compliance with planning, monitoring and reporting deadlines was a major challenge affecting timely reporting and disbursement of funds and, as a consequence, low level of budget execution;
- g) Findings and recommendations of Audits are not adhered to.

Recommendations

29. The sub-Committee **adopted** both the 2017 Budget Execution Report and the 2017 Annual Program Implementation Report and recommends to the PRC the following:

- a) **Requests** the AU Commission to update the PRC, its sub Committees quarterly and the F15 on the utilization and management of the Reserve Fund.

I. PRESENTATION OF THE RESERVE FUND

30. The Commission presented the status of the Reserve Fund. This was in response to a request from Member States and F15T to have an update on the management of the Reserve Fund, especially, to provide a status on the treatment of unutilized funds. In the presentation, the meeting learnt that the Reserve Fund is where the unutilized funds or surplus are recorded. The meeting also learnt that the Fund is required to have a minimum of at least three months' operating budget requirement of the Union, and its excess can only be utilized with a decision of PRC for urgent or unforeseen expenses. It was further revealed that the surplus reserves can also be invested, in accordance with Article 49-53 of the Financial Rules and Regulations.

Discussion

- a) The meeting requested for further information, especially, with regards to utilization of surplus funds as this was not clear in the presentation;
- b) Requested for an understanding on how the Supplementary budget was financed from the Reserve Fund as this too was not clarified in the presentation;
- c) Requested for a statement on status of money that was ever invested in accordance with Article 49-53 of the Financial Rules and Regulations outlining the type of investment products, how much was realized, and how the proceeds were utilized.

Recommendations

- 31.** Requests the Commission to develop a mechanism that will enable for a comprehensive monitoring on the use and management of the Reserve Fund;
- 32.** Call upon the Commission to institute an independent audit of the Reserve Fund in order to establish a baseline from which to monitor its management and utilization.
- 33.** Request a further discussion on the management of reserve fund before end of August 2018.

J. PRESENTATION OF INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT

34. The Commission presented a report on Internal Audit for year ending December 2017. The report provided a narrative explanation of the budget performance with an analysis of the expenditure and achievement on outputs. Among others, the report highlighted the following:

- a) 61% of the pledged funds were released for spending;

- b) Execution to approved budget was 53% and 72% to available funds;
- c) 23% of the 226 planned outputs were fully achieved;
- d) Called upon the SPPMERM department to scale up resource mobilization efforts and to facilitate planning for realistic activities;
- e) Observed internal control weaknesses in the budget planning and execution;
- f) Found out that there were cases of unused travel tickets that were not refunded by airlines;
- g) Summarized that overall implementation on budget was unsatisfactory and needs further improvements;
- h) Concluded that recommendations of audits findings were not heeded to by departments.

35. On allegation of malpractices regarding recruitment of the Clerk of Parliament, Deputy Clerk and other staff members of the Pan African Parliament, the Commission reported that they observed the following, among others:

- a) The Consultant and the President of PAP ignored the AU Recruitment Policy/Guidelines during the recruitment process;
- b) The PAP president violated the criteria set by Bureau members for the selection of the current Clerk and Deputy Clerk;
- c) Perceived conflict of interest of some members of the Bureau sitting as panellists;
- d) Overlooked Rule 17(1) of PAP Rules of Procedure on 'Functions of the Bureau'

Discussion

- a) Welcomed the two reports and wished they were presented first before deliberations of draft budget;
- b) Observed with deep concern the findings of the reports;
- c) Found out that the Reports lacked concrete sanctions for those who defaults;

- d) Wondered whether a mechanism existed for making sure audit recommendations are addressed.

Recommendations

- 36.** Requests the Commission to avail the Internal Audit Report of the preceding financial year to the Joint Sitting of GSCBFAM, CPC and F15T prior to deliberating on future budget proposals.
- 37.** Also requests the Commission to undertake a full audit of the Pan African Parliament of past three (3) years covering all financial and performance aspects of its operations.
- 38.** Request the Organ not to lay off any staff before the completion and outcome of the audit exercise of PAP
- 39.** Put on hold the 2019 budget for the Pan African Parliament until such a time the findings of the full audit of past three (3) years have been deliberated upon and way forward agreed.
- 40.** Call upon all departments/organs to include during future budget deliberations a summary note on the status of implementation of audit recommendations.

K. THE 2019 BUDGET: OVERVIEW

- 41.** The proposed budget of the Union for 2019 is estimated to be **US\$681,465,337**, which is 20% lower than the 2018 approved budget. The meeting was informed that this comprised the Operating budget of **US\$158,459,118**, which is 12% lower than the 2018 budget, Program budget of **US\$523,026,218**, which is 20% lower than the 2018 budget and Peace Support budget of **US\$273,269,140**, which is 16% lower than the 2018 budget. The meeting was further informed that an amount of **US\$280,045,761**, representing 41% of the total budget, was to be assessed on Member States with **US\$401,439,575** appropriated to Program budget representing 59% of the total Program budget.
- 42.** The Commission informed the meeting that Member States contribution to the budget has been growing steadily from 18.7% in 2015 to 47% in 2019, while the partner contributions decreased from 81.3% in 2015 to 53% in 2019.
- 43.** In the presentation, the Commission further informed the meeting that in preparation for the 2019 budget the following Golden Rules adopted by the Assembly in January 2018 were considered:
- a) Baseline for the past 3 years be used to determine the spending limits for future budget;

- b) Budget must reflect priorities and approved medium term plans;
- c) The AU Commission Chairperson communicates budget ceilings before departments and organs submit budget proposals;
- d) The ratio of Operational budget (including salaries) should move towards 30% threshold to the total AU budget.

44. The meeting was also informed that the Johannesburg Decision **Assembly/AU/Dec.578(XXV)** towards financing the 100% of Operational budget, 75% Program budget and 25% Peace Support Operation with locally generated resources was fully taken into account when formulating the 2019 budget.

Discussions

- a) The meeting commended the approach taken when coming up with the draft budget, which was based on capacities to mobilize financial resources and to spend on budget;
- b) Member States welcomed the introduction of Medium-Term budgeting with a view it will help narrow the gap between budget appropriations, revenues and actual expenditure;
- c) Equally, the meeting commended the Commission for introducing the expenditure ceilings, which were to assist immensely when considering the 2019 budget;
- d) Encouraged departments and Organs to table for consideration draft budgets that were within the set ceiling;
- e) Also encouraged departments to as much as possible create synergies in approach to planning and budgeting and avoid 'silo' mentality;
- f) Request the Commission to consolidate the short term and consultant salary under one office to ease follow-up, reporting and accountability;
- g) Expressed concern over the large number of projects (over 90 projects) being considered in the 2019 budget and wondered whether any prioritization was conducted or sufficient capacity existed to implement those project.

L. THE 2019 BUDGET: Consideration of draft Proposals

45. Respective AU Commission departments and Organs in turn presented their budget proposals for consideration. The presentations highlighted the following:

- a) How the priorities were linked to the Agenda 2063 and the Medium Term Plan;
- b) Expected results and how they were to be measured;
- c) Brief report on achievements on past budgets, including the corresponding budget execution rates;
- d) Personnel composition of the department/organ;
- e) Confirmation if the draft budget was within the set expenditure ceiling for 2019;
- f) And where possible, requested for more resources over and above the provided ceilings.

46. On their part, representatives of the Member States and Technical Experts of the F15 examined the draft budget submissions in detail, provided comments and direction around the following:

- a) Draft proposals that failed to define the link to the Agenda 2063 were referred back for refinement;
- b) Get clarification on the vision the draft budgets were attempting to portray;
- c) Draft budget proposals that were not accompanied with appropriate supporting information were not considered;
- d) Departments/organs were encouraged to prioritize their spending for 2019 and accommodate all requests within the given expenditure ceiling;
- e) Departments/organs were equally encouraged to rationalize identified specific budget items within the given expenditure ceiling;
- f) On exceptional cases and supported with compelling reasons were departments/organs allowed to work above the spending ceiling;
- g) Encouraged the Commission to eliminate any element of duplication in the budget, especially, with respect to salaries for short term staff, and activities of the NEPAD Planning Agency vis-à-vis other departments of the Commission;
- h) Emphasized that the draft budgets be presented to the meeting by respective Directors and Heads of Organs, except when there was compelling reasons for not doing so;

- i) Reminded departments and organs that being within the ceiling was not a guarantee that an appropriation was granted;
- j) Requested departments and all organs to declare and reflect in the budget all resources received from partners on behalf of the Union;
- k) On the adopted figures, the meeting noted discrepancies between what was actually agreed with what the Commission presented as final figures. The meeting further demanded to know who was responsible for the confusion, action to be taken against such a person and how to make sure such practice is not repeated in future;
- l) Upon applying the verification exercise by sampling on 7 departments: CIDO, DIC, Internal Audit, OSG, AHRM and IBAR and also on AMISON, the Joint Sitting identified savings on budget items that were originally rejected during the initial deliberations on such budgets.

47. Recommendations. Having exhausted discussions on each budget submission, the adoption process of the budget is put to test to ensure that:

- a) A baseline is followed in determine the budget amount;
- b) clear definition of priorities to avoid overlapping and duplication among department/Organs;
- c) To follow strictly the Golden rules that provides a framework for financial management and accountability principles.

48. The joint sitting recommended to PRC, the adoption of the total budget of **US\$ 681,485,337** as follows:

AFRICAN UNION COMMISSION

49. Endorse a total budget of **US\$ 283,780,422** comprising the following:

Operational Budget - **US\$. 107,611,392 (provisional)**

50. This was agreed to after the Commission provided an explanation on the cause for an error that came about as a result of basing projections for 2019 on the wrong denominator, in which the salary increment and it's corresponding costs, as well as new recruitments that were effected in 2018 were omitted.

Program Budget - **US\$ 176,169,030 whereas (10,315,344) for AHRM**
As provisional

PAN AFRICAN PARLIAMENT (PAP)

51. Endorse a total budget of **US\$18,510,115** comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$11,749,132</u> |
| Program Budget | - | <u>US\$6,760,983</u> |

AFRICAN COURT ON HUMAN AND PEOPLES'S RIGHTS (ACHPR)

52. Endorse a total budget of **US\$13,992,891** comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$7,987,200</u> |
| Program Budget | - | <u>US\$6,005,691</u> |

AFRICAN COMMISSION ON HUMAN AND PEOPLES' RIGHTS (AfCHPR)

53. Endorse a total budget of **US\$7,025,111** comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$4,778,973</u> |
| Program Budget | - | <u>US\$2,246,138</u> |

THE ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND CULTURAL COUNCIL (ECOSOCC)

54. Endorse a total budget of **US\$4,017,004** comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$1,439,232</u> |
| Program Budget | - | <u>US\$2,577,772</u> |

NEPAD PLANNING AND COORDINATING AGENCY (NPCA)

55. Endorse a total budget of **US\$35,533,354** comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$10,633,354</u> |
| Program Budget | - | <u>US\$24,900,000</u> |

AFRICAN UNION COMMISSION ON INTERNATIONAL LAW (AUCIL)

56. Endorse a total budget of **US\$806,880** comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$465,149</u> |
| Program Budget | - | <u>US\$341,731</u> |

AFRICAN UNION ADVISORY BOARD ON CORRUPTION (AUABC)

57. Endorse a total budget of **US\$3,061,655** comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$1,627,858</u> |
| Program Budget | - | <u>US\$1,433,797</u> |

PEACE & SECURITY COUNCIL

58. Endorse a total budget of **US\$1,421,594** comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | US\$0 |
| Program Budget | - | <u>US\$1,421,594</u> |

AFRICAN COMMITTEE OF EXPERTS ON THE RIGHTS AND WELFARE OF THE CHILD (ACERWC)

59. Endorse a total budget of **US\$881,102** comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$291,821</u> |
| Program Budget | - | <u>US\$589,281</u> |

AFRICAN ENERGY COMMISSION (AFREC)

60. Endorse a total budget of **US\$1,275,699** comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$1,275,699</u> |
| Program Budget | - | US\$0 |

IPED

61. Endorse a total budget of **US\$762,647** comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|--------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$681,891</u> |
| Program Budget | - | US\$0 |

CIEFFA

62. Endorse a total budget of **US\$716,656** comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|--------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$716,656</u> |
| Program Budget | - | US\$0 |

PAN AFRICAN UNIVERSITY (PAU)

63. Endorse a total budget of **US\$16,209,157** comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$2,821,977</u> |
| Program Budget | - | <u>US\$13,387,180</u> |

AFRICAN INSTITUTE FOR REMITTANCES (AIR)

64. Endorse a total budget of **US\$2,227,686** comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|----------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$874,978</u> |
| Program Budget | - | <u>US\$1,352,708</u> |

AFRICAN CENTRES FOR DISEASE CONTROL (ACDC)

65. Endorse a total budget of **US\$13,379,126** comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$2,971,148</u> |
| Program Budget | - | <u>US\$10,407,978</u> |

AOSTI

66. Endorse a total budget of US\$916,272 comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|--------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$916,272</u> |
| Program Budget | - | US\$0 |

AFRIPOL

67. Endorse a total budget of US\$948,450 comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|--------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$948,450</u> |
| Program Budget | - | US\$0 |

AFRICAN PEER REVIEW MECHANISM

68. Endorse a total budget of **US\$2,135,510** comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|----------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$1,149,199</u> |
| Program Budget | - | <u>US\$986,311</u> |

SPORT COUNCIL

69. Endorse a total budget of US\$1,683,113 comprising the following

| | | |
|--------------------|---|----------------------|
| Operational Budget | - | <u>US\$1,683,113</u> |
| Program Budget | - | US\$0 |

PEACE SUPPORT OPERATIONS

70. Endorse a total budget of US\$273,269,140 comprising the following

| | | |
|--------|---|-----------------|
| AMISOM | - | US\$257,227,967 |
| MNJTF | - | US\$7,161,400 |
| LRA | - | US\$73,265 |
| HRMOM | - | US\$8,806,508 |

AFRICAN UNION COMMISSION – DEPARTMENTS

- i) BUREAU OF THE CHAIRPERSON (CABINET)**
71. Endorse a total Program budget of US\$14,698,160
- ii) CITIZENS AND DIASPORA ORGANIZATIONS (CIDO)**
72. Endorse a total Program budget of US\$904,380
- iii) INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION**
73. Endorse a total Program budget of US\$738,185
- iv) INTERNAL AUDIT**
74. Endorse a total Program budget of US\$237,907
- v) NEPAD COORDINATING UNIT**
75. Endorse a total Program budget of US\$337,753 comprising the following
 - vi) LEGAL COUNSEL**
76. Endorse a total Program budget of US\$1,742,581
 - vii) OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY GENERAL**
77. Endorse a total Program budget of US\$461,100
 - viii) PROTOCOL SERVICES**
78. Endorse a total Program budget of US\$44,286
 - ix) STRATEGIC POLICY PLANNING, MONITORING AND EVALUATION**
79. Endorse a total Program budget of US\$2,015,978
 - x) WOMEN, GENDER AND DEVELOPMENT**
80. Endorse a total Program budget of US\$2,064,381
 - xi) DEPUTY CHAIRPERSON – CABINET**
81. Endorse a total Program budget of US\$775,685

- xii) **ADMINISTRATION AND HUMAN RESOURCES**
82. Endorse a total Program budget of **US\$10,314,445**
- xiii) **CONFERENCE MANAGEMENT AND PUBLICATIONS**
83. Endorse a total Program budget of **US\$731,265**
- xiv) **PROGRAMMING, BUDGETING, FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING**
84. Endorse a total Program budget of **US\$2,314,440**
- xv) **MEDICAL SERVICES**
85. Endorse a total Program budget of **US\$424,609**
- xvi) **ECONOMIC AFFAIRS**
86. Endorse a total Program budget of **US\$3,034,920**
- xvii) **HUMAN RESOURCES, SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY**
87. Endorse a total Program budget of **US\$16,515,014**
- xviii) **INFRASTRUCTURE AND ENERGY**
88. Endorse a total Program budget of **US\$10,400,981**
- xix) **PEACE AND SECURITY**
89. Endorse a total Program budget of **US\$44,250,981**
- xx) **POLITICAL AFFAIRS**
90. Endorse a total Program budget of **US\$6,773,114**
- xxi) **RURAL ECONOMY AND AGRICULTURE**
91. Endorse a total Program budget of **US\$9,222,892**
- xxii) **RURAL ECONOMY AND AGRICULTURE – IBAR**
92. Endorse a total Program budget **of US\$28,089,539**

xxiii) SOCIAL AFFAIRS

93. Endorse a total Program budget of US\$8,788,436

xxiv) TRADE AND INDUSTRY

94. Endorse a total Program budget of US\$10,301,094

M. CONSIDERATION OF THE 2018 SUPPLEMENTARY

95. The joint sitting also considered the 2018 supplementary budget request submitted by the Commission.

96. After deliberating on supplementary request, the Joint Sitting gave recommendation as follows:

xxv) CONFERENCE MANAGEMENT AND PUBLICATIONS

97. Recommends approval of “online translation fee” with an amount of US\$71,280. All other requests are declined.

xxvi) AFRICA CDC

98. Recommends approval of activities related with EBOLA with a total amount of US\$ 4,181,160.13 as per the breakdown presented where the cost of transport rental and set of PPEs requested to be removed.

xxvii) PROGRAMMING, BUDGETING, FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING

99. Recommends approval of “Consultancy services for assessment of property & plant of the African Union” with an amount of US\$790,000.

2018-06-29

Meeting of the Joint Sitting of PRC
Sub-Committee on General
Supervision and Coordination on
Budgetary, Financial and
Administrative Matters,
Sub-Committee on Programs and
Conferences and Committee of Fifteen
Finance Ministers' Technical Experts

African Union

DCMP

<https://archives.au.int/handle/123456789/8855>

Downloaded from African Union Common Repository